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Important Linear Block Codes

1. Hamming Codes
   Have minimum distance of 3 and capable of correcting any single error.
   Can be decoded easily using a table-lookup scheme.

2. Reed Muller codes
   A large class of codes for multiple random error correction.
   Simple in construction and rich in structural properties.
   Decoding is done using hard or soft decision decoding algorithms.
   Soft decision decoding achieve very good error performance with low decoding complexity.

3. The (24, 12) Golay code
   Used for error control in many communication systems.

4. Product codes and Interleaved codes
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- Number of information symbols: $k = 2^m - m - 1$
- Number of parity-check symbols: $n - k = m$
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$$H = \begin{bmatrix} I_m \\ Q \end{bmatrix}$$

where $I_m$ is an $m \times m$ identity matrix. The submatrix $Q$ consists of $2^m - m - 1$ columns which are the $m$-tuples of weight 2 or more. The columns of $Q$ may be arranged in any order without affecting the distance property and weight distribution of the code.
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They were discovered by Muller and provided with a decoding algorithm by Reed in 1954.

These codes were initially given as binary codes, but modern generalizations to q-ary codes exist.

Reed-Muller codes have many interesting properties that are worth examination; they form an infinite family of codes, and larger Reed-Muller codes can be constructed from smaller ones.

This particular observation leads us to show that Reed-Muller codes can be defined recursively.

One of the major advantages of Reed-Muller codes is their relative simplicity to encode messages and decode received transmissions.
For any integers $m$ and $r$ with $0 \leq r \leq m$ there exist a binary $r$th order RM code, denoted by $RM(r,m)$, with the following parameters:

- **Code length:** $n = 2^m$
- **Dimension:** $k(r,m) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{m}{i}$
- **Minimum distance:** $d_{min} = 2^{m-r}$

Where $\binom{m}{i} = \frac{m!}{i!(m-i)!}$.

Example: $m=5$ and $r=2$ then $n=32$, $k(2,5)=16$ and $d_{min}=8$.

There exists a $(32, 16)$ RM code with a distance of 8.

For $1 \leq i \leq m$ let $V_i$ be a $2^m$ tuple over $\mathbb{GF}(2)$ of the following form:

$$V_i = (0 \ldots 0 \underbrace{1 \ldots 1}_{2^{i-1}} \ldots 0 \ldots 0)$$

which consists of $2^m - i + 1$ alternating all zero and all one $2^{i-1}$ tuples.
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- **Dimension**: \( k(r, m) = 1 + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \ldots + \binom{m}{r} \)
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For any integers \( m \) and \( r \) with \( 0 \leq r \leq m \) there exist a binary \( r \)th order RM code, denoted by \( \text{RM}(r, m) \), with the following parameters:

- **Code length:** \( n = 2^m \)
- **Dimension:** \( k(r, m) = 1 + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \ldots + \binom{m}{r} \)
- **Minimum distance:** \( d_{\text{min}} = 2^{m-r} \)

where \( \binom{m}{i} = \frac{m!}{i!(m-i)!} \)

Example \( m=5 \) and \( r=2 \) then \( n=32 \), \( k(2,5)=16 \) and \( d_{\text{min}} = 8 \)
For any integers \( m \) and \( r \) with \( 0 \leq r \leq m \) there exist a binary \( r \)th order RM code, denoted by \( \text{RM}(r,m) \), with the following parameters:

- **Code length:** \( n = 2^m \)
- **Dimension:** \( k(r, m) = 1 + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \ldots + \binom{m}{r} \)
- **Minimum distance:** \( d_{\text{min}} = 2^{m-r} \)
- \( \binom{m}{i} = \frac{m!}{i!(m-i)!} \)

Example: \( m=5 \) and \( r=2 \) then \( n=32 \), \( k(2,5)=16 \) and \( d_{\text{min}} = 8 \).

There exists a \((32, 16)\) RM code with a distance of 8.

For \( 1 \leq i \leq m \) let \( V_i \) be \( 2^m \) tuple over \( \mathbb{GF}(2) \) of the following form:

\[
V_i = (0\ldots0,1\ldots1,0\ldots0\ldots1\ldots1)
\]

which consists of \( 2^{m-i+1} \) alternating all zero and all one \( 2^{i-1} \) tuples.
Example 1

Consider the code $R(1,3)$ with generator matrix:

\[
G_{RM}(1,3) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & & \\
0 & 0 & & & & & & \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Example 1

- Consider the code $R(1,3)$ with generator matrix:
- Code length: $n = 2^m = 2^3 = 8$
Example 1

- Consider the code $R(1,3)$ with generator matrix:
- Code length: $n = 2^m = 2^3 = 8$
- $k(r, m) = 1 + \binom{3}{1} = 1 + \frac{3.2.1}{(2.1).1} = 4$
Example 1

- Consider the code $R(1,3)$ with generator matrix:
- Code length: $n = 2^m = 2^3 = 8$
- $k(r, m) = 1 + \binom{3}{1} = 1 + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{(2 \cdot 1) \cdot 1} = 4$
- Minimum distance: $d_{min} = 2^{m-r} = 2^{3-1} = 4$
Example 1

- Consider the code $R(1,3)$ with generator matrix:
- Code length: $n = 2^m = 2^3 = 8$
- $k(r, m) = 1 + \binom{3}{1} = 1 + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{(2 \cdot 1) \cdot 1} = 4$
- Minimum distance: $d_{min} = 2^{m-r} = 2^{3-1} = 4$

$$G_{RM}(1, 3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
Example 1

- Consider the code $\text{R}(1,3)$ with generator matrix:

  - Code length: $n = 2^m = 2^3 = 8$
  - $k(r, m) = 1 + \binom{3}{1} = 1 + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{2 \cdot 1 \cdot 1} = 4$
  - Minimum distance: $d_{\text{min}} = 2^{m-r} = 2^{3-1} = 4$

- Let $a = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots a_{n-1})$ $b = (b_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots b_{n-1})$

\[
G_{\text{RM}}(1, 3) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
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- Consider the code $R(1,3)$ with generator matrix:
- Code length: $n = 2^m = 2^3 = 8$
- $k(r, m) = 1 + \binom{3}{1} = 1 + \frac{3.2.1}{(2.1).1} = 4$
- Minimum distance: $d_{\text{min}} = 2^{m-r} = 2^{3-1} = 4$

$$G_{\text{RM}}(1, 3) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 
\end{bmatrix}$$

- Let $a = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots a_{n-1})$ $b = (b_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots b_{n-1})$
- $a \cdot b = (a_0 \cdot b_0, a_1 \cdot b_1, \ldots a_{n-1} \cdot b_{n-1})$
Example 1

- Consider the code $R(1,3)$ with generator matrix:
- Code length: $n = 2^m = 2^3 = 8$
- $k(r, m) = 1 + \binom{3}{1} = 1 + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{(2 \cdot 1) \cdot 1} = 4$
- Minimum distance: $d_{min} = 2^{m-r} = 2^{3-1} = 4$

$$G_{RM}(1, 3) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}$$

- Let $a = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots a_{n-1})$ and $b = (b_0, b_1, b_2, \ldots b_{n-1})$
- $a \cdot b = (a_0 \cdot b_0, a_1 \cdot b_1, \ldots a_{n-1} \cdot b_{n-1})$
- where $\cdot$ denotes logic product i.e. $a_i \cdot b_i = 1$ if and if only $a_i = b_i = 1$
The rth order RM code, RM(r, m) of length $2^m$ is generated by the following set of independent vectors:

$$G_{RM}(r, m) = (V_0, V_1, V_2, \ldots V_m, V_1 \cdot V_2, V_1 \cdot V_3, V_{m-1} \cdot V_m)$$

$$= \text{up to products of degree } r$$

There are

$$k(r, m) = 1 + \binom{m}{1} + \binom{m}{2} + \ldots + \binom{m}{r}$$

vectors in $G_{RM}(r, m)$. Therefore the dimension of the code is $k(r, m)$

The vectors in $G_{RM}(r, m)$ are arranged as rows of a matrix, then the matrix is a generator matrix of the $RM(r, m)$ code. Hence $G_{RM}(r, m)$ is called as the generator matrix.
Example 2

- Consider the code $R(2,3)$ with generator matrix:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_0 & V_3 & V_2 & V_1 & V_3 \cdot V_2 & V_3 \cdot V_1 & V_2 \cdot V_2 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Example 2

- Consider the code $R(2,3)$ with generator matrix:
- Code length: $n = 2^m = 2^3 = 8$
Example 2

- Consider the code $R(2, 3)$ with generator matrix:

- Code length: $n = 2^m = 2^3 = 8$

- $k(r, m) = 1 + \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = 1 + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{(2 \cdot 1) \cdot 1} + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{(1 \cdot 2) \cdot 1} = 7$
Example 2

- Consider the code R(2,3) with generator matrix:
- Code length: $n = 2^m = 2^3 = 8$
- $k(r, m) = 1 + \binom{3}{1} + \binom{3}{2} = 1 + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{(2 \cdot 1) \cdot 1} + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1)} = 7$
- Minimum distance: $d_{\text{min}} = 2^{m-r} = 2^{3-2} = 2$
Example 2

- Consider the code $R(2,3)$ with generator matrix:
- **Code length:** $n = 2^m = 2^3 = 8$
- $k(r, m) = 1 + \binom{3}{1} + \binom{3}{2} = 1 + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{(2 \cdot 1) \cdot 1} + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{(1 \cdot 2) \cdot 1} = 7$
- **Minimum distance:** $d_{\text{min}} = 2^{m-r} = 2^{3-2} = 2$

$$G_{RM}(2, 3) = \begin{bmatrix} V_0 \\ V_3 \\ V_2 \\ V_1 \\ V_3 \cdot V_2 \\ V_3 \cdot V_1 \\ V_2 \cdot V_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$V_3 \cdot V_2 = (1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)$
Reed Decoding
Consider an example R(1,3) code with generator matrix:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix}
V_0 \\
V_1 \\
V_2 \\
V_3
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}$$

The rows of the matrix are labeled as $V_0, V_1, V_2,$ and $V_3$. Consider a message $m = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)$ to be encoded.

$$V = m \ast G = V_0 a_0 + V_1 a_1 + V_2 a_2 + V_3 a_3.$$
Consider an example R(1,3) code with generator matrix:

- Code length: $n = 2^m = 2^3 = 8$

The rows of the matrix are labeled as $V_0, V_1, V_2,$ and $V_3$.
Consider an example R(1,3) code with generator matrix:

- Code length: $n = 2^m = 2^3 = 8$
- $k(r, m) = 1 + \binom{3}{1} = 1 + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{(2 \cdot 1)!} = 4$

$$G_{RM} = \begin{bmatrix} V_0 \\ V_1 \\ V_2 \\ V_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The rows of the matrix are labeled as $V_0, V_1, V_2$ and $V_3$.
- Consider a message $m = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)$ to be encoded.
  $V = m \ast G_{RM}(1; 3) = V = a_0 V_0 + a_1 V_1 + a_2 V_2 + a_3 V_3$. 
Consider an example R(1,3) code with generator matrix:

- Code length: $n = 2^m = 2^3 = 8$
- $k(r, m) = 1 + \binom{3}{1} = 1 + \frac{3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{(2 \cdot 1) \cdot 1} = 4$

$$G_{RM} = \begin{bmatrix} V_0 \\ V_1 \\ V_2 \\ V_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The rows of the matrix are labeled as $V_0, V_1, V_2$ and $V_3$.
- Consider a message $m = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)$ to be encoded. 
  \[ V = m \ast G_{RM}(1; 3) = V = a_0 V_0 + a_1 V_1 + a_2 V_2 + a_3 V_3. \]
- Written as a vector, 
  \[ V = (a_0, a_0 + a_1, a_0 + a_2, a_0 + a_1 + a_2, a_0 + a_3, a_0 + a_1 + a_3, a_0 + a_2 + a_3, a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3). \]
Reed Decoding

If no errors occur, a received vector \( \mathbf{r} = (y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_7) \) can be used to solve for the \( a_i \) other than \( a_0 \) in several ways (4 ways for each) namely:

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_0 &= y_0 \\
    a_0 + a_1 &= y_1 \\
    a_0 + a_2 &= y_2 \\
    a_0 + a_1 + a_2 &= y_3 \\
    a_0 + a_3 &= y_4 \\
    a_0 + a_1 + a_3 &= y_5 \\
    a_0 + a_2 + a_3 &= y_6 \\
    a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 &= y_7
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore
\[
    a_1 = y_0 + y_1 + y_2 + y_3
\]

Similarly
\[
    a_2 = y_0 + y_2 + y_3 + y_4
\]

\[
    a_3 = y_0 + y_4 + y_5 + y_6
\]

If one error has occurred in \( \mathbf{r} \), then when all the calculations above are made, 3 of the 4 values will agree for each \( a_i \), so the correct value will be obtained by majority decoding.

Finally, \( a_0 \) can be determined as the majority of the components of \( \mathbf{r} + a_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + a_3 \mathbf{v}_3 \).
Reed Decoding
\[ \nu = (a_0, a_0 + a_1, a_0 + a_2, a_0 + a_1 + a_2, a_0 + a_3, a_0 + a_1 + a_3, a_0 + a_2 + a_3, a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3). \]
Reed Decoding

$v = (a_0, a_0 + a_1, a_0 + a_2, a_0 + a_1 + a_2, a_0 + a_3, a_0 + a_1 + a_3, a_0 + a_2 + a_3, a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3)$.

If no errors occur, a received vector $r = (y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_7)$ can be used to solve for the $a_i$ other than $a_0$ in several ways (4 ways for each) namely:

- $a_0 = y_0$
- $a_1 = y_0 + y_1$
- $a_2 = y_0 + y_2$
- $a_3 = y_0 + y_4$
- $a_0 + a_1 = y_2$
- $a_0 + a_2 = y_3$
- $a_0 + a_3 = y_4$
- $a_0 + a_1 + a_2 = y_5$
- $a_0 + a_1 + a_3 = y_6$
- $a_0 + a_2 + a_3 = y_7$

If one error has occurred in $r$, then when all the calculations above are made, 3 of the 4 values will agree for each $a_i$, so the correct value will be obtained by majority decoding.

Finally, $a_0$ can be determined as the majority of the components of $r$.
Reed Decoding

\[ v = (a_0, a_0 + a_1, a_0 + a_2, a_0 + a_1 + a_2, a_0 + a_3, a_0 + a_1 + a_3, a_0 + a_2 + a_3, a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3). \]

- If no errors occur, a received vector \( r = (y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_7) \) can be used to solve for the \( a_i \) other than \( a_0 \) in several ways (4 ways for each) namely:
  
  \[
  \begin{align*}
  a_0 &= y_0 \quad a_0 + a_1 &= y_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_0 + y_1 = a_1 \\
  a_0 + a_2 &= y_2 \quad a_0 + a_1 + a_2 &= y_3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_2 + y_3 = a_1 \\
  a_0 + a_3 &= y_4 \quad a_0 + a_1 + a_3 &= y_5 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_4 + y_5 = a_1 \\
  a_0 + a_2 + a_3 &= y_6 \quad a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 &= y_7 \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_6 + y_7 = a_1 
  \end{align*}
  \]

- Therefore \( a_1 \) is
  
  \[
  a_1 = y_0 + y_1 = y_2 + y_3 = y_4 + y_5 = y_6 + y_7 
  \]
Reed Decoding

\[ v = (a_0 + a_1, a_0 + a_2, a_0 + a_1 + a_2, a_0 + a_3, a_0 + a_1 + a_3, a_0 + a_2 + a_3, a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3). \]

- If no errors occur, a received vector \( r = (y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_7) \) can be used to solve for the \( a_i \) other than \( a_0 \) in several ways (4 ways for each) namely:
  - \( a_0 = y_0 \) \( a_0 + a_1 = y_1 \) \( \Rightarrow y_0 + y_1 = a_1 \)
  - \( a_0 + a_2 = y_2 \) \( a_0 + a_1 + a_2 = y_3 \) \( \Rightarrow y_2 + y_3 = a_1 \)
  - \( a_0 + a_3 = y_4 \) \( a_0 + a_1 + a_3 = y_5 \) \( \Rightarrow y_4 + y_5 = a_1 \)
  - \( a_0 + a_2 + a_3 = y_6 \) \( a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = y_7 \) \( \Rightarrow y_6 + y_7 = a_1 \)

Therefore \( a_1 \) is

\[ a_1 = y_0 + y_1 = y_2 + y_3 = y_4 + y_5 = y_6 + y_7 \]

- Similarly \( a_2 \) and \( a_3 \) are determined and are as follows
Reed Decoding

\[ v = (a_0, a_0 + a_1, a_0 + a_2, a_0 + a_1 + a_2, a_0 + a_3, a_0 + a_1 + a_3, a_0 + a_2 + a_3, a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3) \]

- If no errors occur, a received vector \( r = (y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_7) \) can be used to solve for the \( a_i \) other than \( a_0 \) in several ways (4 ways for each) namely:
  
  \[ a_0 = y_0 \]
  \[ a_0 + a_1 = y_1 \Rightarrow y_0 + y_1 = a_1 \]
  \[ a_0 + a_2 = y_2 \]
  \[ a_0 + a_1 + a_2 = y_3 \Rightarrow y_2 + y_3 = a_1 \]
  \[ a_0 + a_3 = y_4 \]
  \[ a_0 + a_1 + a_3 = y_5 \Rightarrow y_4 + y_5 = a_1 \]
  \[ a_0 + a_2 + a_3 = y_6 \]
  \[ a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = y_7 \Rightarrow y_6 + y_7 = a_1 \]

- Therefore \( a_1 \) is
  
  \[ a_1 = y_0 + y_1 = y_2 + y_3 = y_4 + y_5 = y_6 + y_7 \]

- Similarly \( a_2 \) and \( a_3 \) are determined and are as follows
  
  \[ a_2 = y_0 + y_2 = y_1 + y_3 = y_4 + y_6 = y_5 + y_7 \]
  \[ a_3 = y_0 + y_4 = y_1 + y_5 = y_2 + y_6 = y_3 + y_7 \]

- If one error has occurred in \( r \), then when all the calculations above are made, 3 of the 4 values will agree for each \( a_i \), so the correct value will be obtained by majority decoding.
Reed Decoding

\[ v = (a_0, a_0 + a_1, a_0 + a_2, a_0 + a_1 + a_2, a_0 + a_3, a_0 + a_1 + a_3, a_0 + a_2 + a_3, a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3) \].

- If no errors occur, a received vector \( r = (y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6, y_7) \) can be used to solve for the \( a_i \) other than \( a_0 \) in several ways (4 ways for each) namely:
  - \( a_0 = y_0 \) \( a_0 + a_1 = y_1 \) \( \Rightarrow y_0 + y_1 = a_1 \)
  - \( a_0 + a_2 = y_2 \) \( a_0 + a_1 + a_2 = y_3 \) \( \Rightarrow y_2 + y_3 = a_1 \)
  - \( a_0 + a_3 = y_4 \) \( a_0 + a_1 + a_3 = y_5 \) \( \Rightarrow y_4 + y_5 = a_1 \)
  - \( a_0 + a_2 + a_3 = y_6 \) \( a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = y_7 \) \( \Rightarrow y_6 + y_7 = a_1 \)

- Therefore \( a_1 \) is
  - \( a_1 = y_0 + y_1 = y_2 + y_3 = y_4 + y_5 = y_6 + y_7 \)

- Similarly \( a_2 \) and \( a_3 \) are determined and are as follows
  - \( a_2 = y_0 + y_2 = y_1 + y_3 = y_4 + y_6 = y_5 + y_7 \)
  - \( a_3 = y_0 + y_4 = y_1 + y_5 = y_2 + y_6 = y_3 + y_7 \)

- If one error has occurred in \( r \), then when all the calculations above are made, 3 of the 4 values will agree for each \( a_i \), so the correct value will be obtained by majority decoding.

- Finally, \( a_0 \) can be determined as the majority of the components of \( r + a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + a_3 v_3 \).
Example

- Suppose that the transmitted code is $v = 10100101$ and received code as $10101101$. Using,

- Calculate $a_1$, $a_2$, and $a_3$ using majority decoding:
  
  - $a_1 = 1 = 1 = 0 = 1$ so $a_1 = 1$
  - $a_2 = 0 = 0 = 1 = 0$ so $a_2 = 0$
  - $a_3 = 0 = 1 = 1 = 1$ so $a_3 = 1$

  Finally, $a_0$ can be determined as the majority of the components of $r + a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + a_3 v_3$ and $y_0 = a_0 y_1 = a_0 + a_1 y_2$ for $v = a_0 v_0 + a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + a_3 v_3$. In this case $a_2 = 0$ Therefore $V = 11111111 + 01010101 + 00001111 = 10100101$. 

Manjunatha. P (JNNCE) 
Important Linear Block Codes [1, 2] November 6, 2013 20/40
Example

Suppose that the transmitted code is $v = 10100101$ and received code as $10101101$. Using,

\[ a_1 = y_0 + y_1 = y_2 + y_3 = y_4 + y_5 = y_6 + y_7, \]
\[ a_2 = y_0 + y_2 = y_1 + y_3 = y_4 + y_6 = y_5 + y_7 \]
Example

- Suppose that the transmitted code is $v = 10100101$ and received code as $10101101$. Using,

$$
\begin{align*}
  a_1 &= y_0 + y_1 = y_2 + y_3 = y_4 + y_5 = y_6 + y_7, \\
  a_2 &= y_0 + y_2 = y_1 + y_3 = y_4 + y_6 = y_5 + y_7 \\
  a_3 &= y_0 + y_4 = y_1 + y_5 = y_2 + y_6 = y_3 + y_7
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, $a_0$ can be determined as the majority of the components of $r + a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + a_3 v_3$. In this case $a_2 = 0$. Therefore $V = 11111111 + 01010101 + 00001111 = 10100101$. 
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Example

- Suppose that the transmitted code is \( v = 10100101 \) and received code as \( 10101101 \). Using,

\[
\begin{align*}
  a_1 &= y_0 + y_1 = y_2 + y_3 = y_4 + y_5 = y_6 + y_7, \\
  a_2 &= y_0 + y_2 = y_1 + y_3 = y_4 + y_6 = y_5 + y_7 \\
  a_3 &= y_0 + y_4 = y_1 + y_5 = y_2 + y_6 = y_3 + y_7
\end{align*}
\]

- Calculate \( a_1, a_2, \) and \( a_3 \) using majority decoding.
Example

Suppose that the transmitted code is $v = 10100101$ and received code as $10101101$. Using,

- $a_1 = y_0 + y_1 = y_2 + y_3 = y_4 + y_5 = y_6 + y_7$,
- $a_2 = y_0 + y_2 = y_1 + y_3 = y_4 + y_6 = y_5 + y_7$
- $a_3 = y_0 + y_4 = y_1 + y_5 = y_2 + y_6 = y_3 + y_7$

Calculate $a_1$, $a_2$, and $a_3$ using majority decoding.:

- $a_1 = 1 = 1 = 0 = 1$ so $a_1 = 1$
Example

- Suppose that the transmitted code is \( v = 10100101 \) and received code as \( 10101101 \). Using,

\[
a_1 = y_0 + y_1 = y_2 + y_3 = y_4 + y_5 = y_6 + y_7, \\
a_2 = y_0 + y_2 = y_1 + y_3 = y_4 + y_6 = y_5 + y_7 \\
a_3 = y_0 + y_4 = y_1 + y_5 = y_2 + y_6 = y_3 + y_7
\]

- Calculate \( a_1, a_2, \) and \( a_3 \) using majority decoding:

\[
a_1 = 1 = 1 = 0 = 1 \text{ so } a_1 = 1 \\
a_2 = 0 = 0 = 1 = 0 \text{ so } a_2 = 0
\]
Example

- Suppose that the transmitted code is \( v = 10100101 \) and received code as \( 10101101 \). Using,
  \[
  a_1 = y_0 + y_1 = y_2 + y_3 = y_4 + y_5 = y_6 + y_7, \\
  a_2 = y_0 + y_2 = y_1 + y_3 = y_4 + y_6 = y_5 + y_7 \\
  a_3 = y_0 + y_4 = y_1 + y_5 = y_2 + y_6 = y_3 + y_7
  \]

- Calculate \( a_1, a_2, \) and \( a_3 \) using majority decoding:
  \[
  a_1 = 1 = 1 = 0 = 1 \text{ so } a_1 = 1 \\
  a_2 = 0 = 0 = 1 = 0 \text{ so } a_2 = 0 \\
  a_3 = 0 = 1 = 1 = 1 \text{ so } a_3 = 1
  \]
Example

- Suppose that the transmitted code is \( v = 10100101 \) and received code as 10101101. Using,
  \[
  a_1 = y_0 + y_1 = y_2 + y_3 = y_4 + y_5 = y_6 + y_7, \\
  a_2 = y_0 + y_2 = y_1 + y_3 = y_4 + y_6 = y_5 + y_7 \]
  \[
  a_3 = y_0 + y_4 = y_1 + y_5 = y_2 + y_6 = y_3 + y_7
  \]

- Calculate \( a_1, a_2, \) and \( a_3 \) using majority decoding:
  \[
  a_1 = 1 = 1 = 0 = 1 \text{ so } a_1 = 1 \\
  a_2 = 0 = 0 = 1 = 0 \text{ so } a_2 = 0 \\
  a_3 = 0 = 1 = 1 = 1 \text{ so } a_3 = 1
  \]

- Finally, \( a_0 \) can be determined as the majority of the components of
  \[
  r + a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + a_3 v_3
  \]
Example

Suppose that the transmitted code is \( v = 10100101 \) and received code as \( 10101101 \). Using,

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= y_0 + y_1 = y_2 + y_3 = y_4 + y_5 = y_6 + y_7, \\
a_2 &= y_0 + y_2 = y_1 + y_3 = y_4 + y_6 = y_5 + y_7, \\
a_3 &= y_0 + y_4 = y_1 + y_5 = y_2 + y_6 = y_3 + y_7
\end{align*}
\]

Calculate \( a_1, a_2, \text{ and } a_3 \) using majority decoding:

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= 1 = 1 = 0 = 1 \text{ so } a_1 = 1 \\
a_2 &= 0 = 0 = 1 = 0 \text{ so } a_2 = 0 \\
a_3 &= 0 = 1 = 1 = 1 \text{ so } a_3 = 1
\end{align*}
\]

Finally, \( a_0 \) can be determined as the majority of the components of

\[
\begin{align*}
r + a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + a_3 v_3
\end{align*}
\]

and \( y_0 = a_0 \ y_1 = a_0 + a_1 \) hence \( a_0 = 1 \) since

\[
10101101 + 01010101 + 00001111 = 11110111.
\]
Example

Suppose that the transmitted code is $v = 10100101$ and received code as $10101101$. Using,

$$a_1 = y_0 + y_1 = y_2 + y_3 = y_4 + y_5 = y_6 + y_7,$$
$$a_2 = y_0 + y_2 = y_1 + y_3 = y_4 + y_6 = y_5 + y_7,$$
$$a_3 = y_0 + y_4 = y_1 + y_5 = y_2 + y_6 = y_3 + y_7$$

Calculate $a_1$, $a_2$, and $a_3$ using majority decoding.:

$$a_1 = 1 = 1 = 0 = 1 \text{ so } a_1 = 1$$
$$a_2 = 0 = 0 = 1 = 0 \text{ so } a_2 = 0$$
$$a_3 = 0 = 1 = 1 = 1 \text{ so } a_3 = 1$$

Finally, $a_0$ can be determined as the majority of the components of

$$r + a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + a_3 v_3$$

and $y_0 = a_0\ y_1 = a_0 + a_1$ hence $a_0 = 1$ since $10101101 + 01010101 + 00001111 = 11110111$.

$v = a_0 v_0 + a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + a_3 v_3$. In this case $a_2 = 0$ Therefore
Example

Suppose that the transmitted code is \( v = 10100101 \) and received code as 10101101. Using,

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_1 &= y_0 + y_1 = y_2 + y_3 = y_4 + y_5 = y_6 + y_7, \\
    a_2 &= y_0 + y_2 = y_1 + y_3 = y_4 + y_6 = y_5 + y_7, \\
    a_3 &= y_0 + y_4 = y_1 + y_5 = y_2 + y_6 = y_3 + y_7
\end{align*}
\]

Calculate \( a_1, a_2, \text{ and } a_3 \) using majority decoding:

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_1 &= 1 = 1 = 0 = 1 \text{ so } a_1 = 1 \\
    a_2 &= 0 = 0 = 1 = 0 \text{ so } a_2 = 0 \\
    a_3 &= 0 = 1 = 1 = 1 \text{ so } a_3 = 1
\end{align*}
\]

Finally, \( a_0 \) can be determined as the majority of the components of

\[
r + a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + a_3 v_3
\]

and \( y_0 = a_0 \ y_1 = a_0 + a_1 \) hence \( a_0 = 1 \) since \( 10101101 + 01010101 + 00001111 = 11110111 \).

\[ v = a_0 v_0 + a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + a_3 v_3. \] In this case \( a_2 = 0 \) Therefore

\[ V = 11111111 + 01010101 + 00001111 = 10100101. \]
Other Constructions of Reed-Muller Codes:

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be an $m \times m$ matrix and $B = [b_{ij}]$ be an $n \times n$ matrix over $\text{GF}(2)$. The Kronecker product of $A$ and $B$ denoted by $A \otimes B$ is the $mn \times mn$ matrix obtained from $A$ by replacing every entry $a_{ij}$ with the matrix $a_{ij}B$. If $a_{ij} = 1$ then $a_{ij}B = B$ and for $a_{ij} = 0$ then $a_{ij}B$ is an $n \times n$ zero matrix.

Generator matrix of $2 \times 2$ over Galois field $\text{GF}(2)$ is:

$$G(2, 2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

The two fold Kronecker product of $G(2, 2)$ is:

$$G(2^2, 2^2) = G(2, 2) \otimes G(2, 2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
Other Constructions of Reed-Muller Codes:

- Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be an $m \times m$ matrix and $B = [b_{ij}]$ be an $n \times n$ matrix over $\text{GF}(2)$. Its Kronecker product $A \otimes B$ is the $mn \times mn$ matrix obtained from $A$ by replacing every entry $a_{ij}$ with the matrix $a_{ij}B$. If $a_{ij} = 1$ then $a_{ij}B = B$, and for $a_{ij} = 0$ then $a_{ij}B$ is an $n \times n$ zero matrix.

Generator matrix of $2 \times 2$ over Galois field $\text{GF}(2)$ is:

$$G(2, 2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The two fold Kronecker product of $G(2, 2)$ is:

$$G(2^2, 2^2) = G(2, 2) \otimes G(2, 2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
Other Constructions of Reed-Muller Codes:

- Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be an $m \times m$ matrix and $B = [b_{ij}]$ be an $n \times n$ matrix over $\text{GF}(2)$.
- The Kronecker product of $A$ and $B$ denoted by $A \otimes B$ is the $m \times n \times m \times n$ matrix obtained from $A$ by replacing every entry $a_{ij}$ with the matrix $a_{ij}B$. 

If $a_{ij} = 1$ then $a_{ij}B = B$ and for $a_{ij} = 0$ then $a_{ij}B$ is an $n \times n$ zero matrix.
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- The Kronecker product of $A$ and $B$ denoted by $A \otimes B$ is the $mn \times mn$ matrix obtained from $A$ by replacing every entry $a_{ij}$ with the matrix $a_{ij}B$.
- If $a_{ij} = 1$ then $a_{ij}B = B$ and for $a_{ij} = 0$ then $a_{ij}B$ is an $n \times n$ zero matrix.
Other Constructions of Reed-Muller Codes:

- Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be an $m \times m$ matrix and $B = [b_{ij}]$ be an $n \times n$ matrix over GF(2).
- The Kronecker product of $A$ and $B$ denoted by $A \otimes B$ is the $mn \times mn$ matrix obtained from $A$ by replacing every entry $a_{ij}$ with the matrix $a_{ij}B$.
- If $a_{ij} = 1$ then $a_{ij}B = B$ and for $a_{ij} = 0$ then $a_{ij}B$ is an $n \times n$ zero matrix.
- Generator matrix of $2 \times 2$ over Galois field GF(2) is:

$$G(2, 2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The two fold Kronecker product of $G(2, 2)$ is:

$$G(2^2, 2^2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
Other Constructions of Reed-Muller Codes:

- Let \( A = [a_{ij}] \) be an \( m \times m \) matrix and \( B = [b_{ij}] \) be an \( n \times n \) matrix over GF(2).
- The **Kronecker** product of \( A \) and \( B \) denoted by \( A \otimes B \) is the \( mn \times mn \) matrix obtained from \( A \) by replacing every entry \( a_{ij} \) with the matrix \( a_{ij}B \).
- If \( a_{ij} = 1 \) then \( a_{ij}B = B \) and for \( a_{ij} = 0 \) then \( a_{ij}B \) is an \( n \times n \) zero matrix.
- Generator matrix of 2x2 over Galois field GF(2) is:

\[
G_{(2,2)} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Other Constructions of Reed-Muller Codes:

- Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be an $m \times m$ matrix and $B = [b_{ij}]$ be an $n \times n$ matrix over $\text{GF}(2)$.
- The Kronecker product of $A$ and $B$ denoted by $A \otimes B$ is the $mn \times mn$ matrix obtained from $A$ by replacing every entry $a_{ij}$ with the matrix $a_{ij}B$.
- If $a_{ij} = 1$ then $a_{ij}B = B$ and for $a_{ij} = 0$ then $a_{ij}B$ is an $n \times n$ zero matrix.
- Generator matrix of $2 \times 2$ over Galois field $\text{GF}(2)$ is:

$$G_{(2,2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The two fold Kronecker product of $G_{(2,2)}$ is:

$$G_{(2^2,2^2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
The three fold Kronecker product of $G_{(2,2)}$ is:

\[
G_{(2,2)} \otimes G_{(2,2)} \otimes G_{(2,2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]
The three fold Kronecker product of $G_{(2,2)}$ is:

$$G_{(2^3,2^3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
The four fold Kronecker product of $G_{(2,2)}$ is:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 
\end{bmatrix}
$$
The four fold Kronecker product of $G_{(2,2)}$ is:

$$
G_{(2^4,2^4)} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
$$
The (24, 12) Golay Code
Golay code constructed by M.J.E. Golay in 1949.
- Golay code constructed by M.J.E. Golay in 1949.
- Has a minimum distance of 7 and is capable of correcting any combination of three or fewer random error in the block of 23 digits.
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• Has abundant and beautiful algebraic structure.
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- Has a minimum distance of 7 and is capable of correcting any combination of three or fewer random error in the block of 23 digits.
- Has abundant and beautiful algebraic structure.
- The (23, 12) Golay code can be extended by adding an overall parity-check bit to each codework.
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• Golay code constructed by M.J.E. Golay in 1949.
• Has a minimum distance of 7 and is capable of correcting any combination of three or fewer random error in the block of 23 digits.
• Has abundant and beautiful algebraic structure.
• The (23, 12) Golay code can be extended by adding an overall parity-check bit to each codework.
• This extension results in a (24, 12) code with minimum distance of 8.
• This code is capable of correcting all errors of there or fewer errors, and detecting all error patterns of four errors.
- Golay code constructed by M.J.E. Golay in 1949.
- Has a minimum distance of 7 and is capable of correcting any combination of three or fewer random error in the block of 23 digits.
- Has abundant and beautiful algebraic structure.
- The (23, 12) Golay code can be extended by adding an overall parity-check bit to each codework.
- This extension results in a (24, 12) code with minimum distance of 8.
- This code is capable of correcting all errors of there or fewer errors, and detecting all error patterns of four errors.
- It is not a perfect code anymore however, it has many interesting structural properties.
A generator matrix in systematic form for this code is as follows:
A generator matrix in systematic form for this code is as follows:

\[ G = [P \quad I_{12}] \]
A generator matrix in systematic form for this code is as follows:

\[ G = [P \quad I_{12}] \]

where \( I_{12} \) is the identity matrix of dimension 12 and \( P \) is:
A generator matrix in systematic form for this code is as follows:

\[ G = [P \ I_{12}] \]

where \( I_{12} \) is the identity matrix of dimension 12 and \( P \) is:

\[
P = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
The P matrix has the following properties:

1. It is symmetrical with respect to its diagonal.
2. The $i$th column is the transpose of the $i$th row.
3. $P^T P = I_{12}$ where $P^T$ is the transpose of $P$.
4. The sub matrix obtained by deleting the last row and last column is formed by cyclically shifting the first row to the left 11 times.
5. It follows from the second property that $P^T = P$.

Consequently, the parity check matrix in systematic form for the (24, 12) extended Golay code is given by:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} I_{12} & P^T \end{bmatrix}$$
The P matrix has the following properties:

1. It is symmetrical with respect to its diagonal.
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The P matrix has the following properties:

1. It is symmetrical with respect to its diagonal
2. The $i^{th}$ column is the transpose of the $i^{th}$ row
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The P matrix has the following properties:

1. It is symmetrical with respect to its diagonal
2. The $i^{th}$ column is the transpose of the $i^{th}$ row
3. $P \cdot P^T = I_{12}$ where $P^T$ is the transpose of $P$
4. The sub matrix obtained by deleting the last row and last column is formed by cyclically shifting the first row to the left 11 times.
5. It follows from the second property that

$$P^T = P$$
The P matrix has the following properties:

1. It is symmetrical with respect to its diagonal.
2. The \( i^{th} \) column is the transpose of the \( i^{th} \) row.
3. \( P \cdot P^T = I_{12} \) where \( P^T \) is the transpose of \( P \).
4. The sub matrix obtained by deleting the last row and last column is formed by cyclically shifting the first row to the left 11 times.
5. It follows from the second property that

\[
P^T = P
\]

Consequently the parity check matrix in systematic form for the (24, 12)
The P matrix has the following properties:

1. It is symmetrical with respect to its diagonal
2. The $i^{th}$ column is the transpose of the $i^{th}$ row
3. $P \cdot P^T = I_{12}$ where $P^T$ is the transpose of P
4. The sub matrix obtained by deleting the last row and last column is formed by cyclically shifting the first row to the left 11 times.
5. It follows from the second property that

\[ P^T = P \]

Consequently the parity check matrix in systematic form for the (24, 12) extended Golay code is given by
The P matrix has the following properties:

1. It is symmetrical with respect to its diagonal
2. The $i^{th}$ column is the transpose of the $i^{th}$ row
3. $P \cdot P^T = I_{12}$ where $P^T$ is the transpose of $P$
4. The sub matrix obtained by deleting the last row and last column is formed by cyclically shifting the first row to the left 11 times.
5. It follows from the second property that $P^T = P$

Consequently the parity check matrix in systematic form for the (24, 12) extended Golay code is given by

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} I_{12} & P^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} I_{12} & P \end{bmatrix}$$
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**Decoding Algorithm:**

- Denote $p_i$ to be the $i^{th}$ row of $P$, and $u(i)$ to be the 12-tuple in which only the $i^{th}$ component is nonzero.
- The decoding algorithm consists of the following steps:

  1. Compute the syndrome $s = r \cdot H^T$.
  2. If $w(s) \leq 3$, then we set $e^*_s = (s, 0)$. And go to step 8.
  3. If $w(s + p_i) \leq 2$ for some row $p_i$ in $P$, then we set $e^*_s = (s + p_i, u(i))$. And go to step 8.
  4. Compute $s \cdot P$.
  5. If $w(s \cdot P) = 2$ or $3$, then we set $e^*_s = (0, s \cdot P)$. And go to step 8.
  6. If $w(s \cdot P + p_i) = 2$ for some $p_i$, then we set $e^*_s = (u(i), s \cdot P + p_i)$.
  7. Otherwise, with $s \neq 0$, declare an uncorrectable error pattern.
  8. $v^* = r + e^*_s$.
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2. If $w(s) \leq 3$, then we set $e^* = (s, 0)$. And go to step 8.
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Decoding Algorithm:

- Denote \( p_i \) to be the \( i^{th} \) row of \( P \), and \( u(i) \) to be the 12-tuple in which only the \( i^{th} \) component is nonzero.
- The decoding algorithm consists of the following steps:
  1. Compute the syndrome \( s = r \cdot H^T \).
  2. If \( w(s) \leq 3 \), then we set \( e^* = (s, 0) \). And go to step 8.
  3. If \( w(s + p_i) \leq 2 \) for some row \( p_i \) in \( P \), then we set \( e^* = (s + pi, u^{(i)}) \). And go to step 8.
  4. Compute \( s \cdot P \).
  5. If \( w(s \cdot P) = 2 \) or 3, then we set \( e^* = (0; s \cdot P) \). And go to step 8.
Decoding Algorithm:

- Denote $p_i$ to be the $i^{th}$ row of P, and $u(i)$ to be the 12-tuple in which only the $i^{th}$ component is nonzero.

The decoding algorithm consists of the following steps:

1. Compute the syndrome $s = r \cdot H^T$.
2. If $w(s) \leq 3$, then we set $e^* = (s, 0)$. And go to step 8.
3. If $w(s + p_i) \leq 2$ for some row $p_i$ in P, then we set $e^* = (s + p_i, u(i))$. And go to step 8.
4. Compute $s \cdot P$.
5. If $w(s \cdot P) = 2$ or 3, then we set $e^* = (0; s \cdot P)$. And go to step 8.
6. If $w(s \cdot P + p_i) = 2$ for some $p_i$, then we set $e^* = (u(i); sP + p_i)$

7. Otherwise, with $s \neq 0$, declare an uncorrectable error pattern.
8. $v^* = r + e^*$. 

---

Manjunatha. P (JNNCE)
Important Linear Block Codes [1, 2]
November 6, 2013 28 / 40
Decoding Algorithm:

- Denote $p_i$ to be the $i^{th}$ row of $P$, and $u(i)$ to be the 12-tuple in which only the $i^{th}$ component is nonzero.
- The decoding algorithm consists of the following steps:

1. Compute the syndrome $s = r \cdot H^T$.
2. If $w(s) \leq 3$, then we set $e^* = (s, 0)$. And go to step 8.
3. If $w(s + p_i) \leq 2$ for some row $p_i$ in $P$, then we set $e^* = (s + p_i, u^{(i)})$. And go to step 8.
4. Compute $s \cdot P$.
5. If $w(s \cdot P) = 2$ or 3, then we set $e^* = (0; s \cdot P)$. And go to step 8.
6. If $w(s \cdot P + p_i) = 2$ for some $p_i$, then we set $e^* = (u^{(i)}; sP + p_i)$.
7. Otherwise, with $s \neq 0$, declare an uncorrectable error pattern.
Decoding Algorithm:

- Denote $p_i$ to be the $i^{th}$ row of $P$, and $u(i)$ to be the 12-tuple in which only the $i^{th}$ component is nonzero.

- The decoding algorithm consists of the following steps:

1. Compute the syndrome $s = r \cdot H^T$.
2. If $w(s) \leq 3$, then we set $e^* = (s, 0)$. And go to step 8.
3. If $w(s + p_i) \leq 2$ for some row $p_i$ in $P$, then we set $e^* = (s + p_i, u^{(i)})$. And go to step 8.
4. Compute $s \cdot P$.
5. If $w(s \cdot P) = 2$ or $3$, then we set $e^* = (0; s \cdot P)$. And go to step 8.
6. If $w(s \cdot P + p_i) = 2$ for some $p_i$, then we set $e^* = (u^{(i)}; sP + p_i)$
7. Otherwise, with $s \neq 0$, declare an uncorrectable error pattern.
8. $v^* = r + e^*$.
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- To decode \( r \), compute \( S \) of \( r \).
- \[ s = r \cdot H^T = (111011111100) \]
- Because \( w(s) > 3 \), go to step 3. We find that
- \[ s + p_{11} = (111011111100) + (111111111110) = (000100000001) \]
Example:

- Suppose the (24,12) Golay code is used error control.
- Let \( r = (1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1) \) received sequence.
- To decode \( r \), compute \( S \) of \( r \)
  \[ s = r \cdot H^T = (111011111100) \]
- Because \( w(s) > 3 \), go to step 3. We find that
  \[ s + p_{11} = (111011111100) + (111111111110) = (000100000010) \]
- and \( s + p_{11} = 2 \) So set
  \[ e = (s + p_{11}, u^{(11)}) = (0001000000100000000000001) \]
The (24, 12) Golay Code
Decoding Algorithm:

Example:

- Suppose the (24,12) Golay code is used error control.
- Let \( r = (1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1) \) received sequence.
- To decode \( r \), compute \( S \) of \( r \)
  \[ s = r \circ H^T = (111011111100) \]
- Because \( w(s) > 3 \), go to step 3. We find that
  \[ s + p_{11} = (111011111100) + (111111111110) = (000100000010) \]
  and \( s + p_{11} = 2 \) So set
  \[ e = (s + p_{11}, u^{(11)}) = (000100000010000000000001) \]
  and decode \( r \) into as

\[ v^* = r + e = (100100110110110000000000) \]
Example:

- Suppose the (24,12) Golay code is used error control.
- Let \( r = (1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1) \) received sequence.
- To decode \( r \), compute \( S \) of \( r \)
  \[ s = r \cdot H^T = (111011111100) \]
- Because \( w(s) > 3 \), go to step 3. We find that
  \[ s + p_{11} = (111011111100) + (111111111110) = (000100000010) \]
- and \( s + p_{11} = 2 \) So set
  \[ e = (s + p_{11}, u^{(11)}) = (000100000010000000000000001) \]
- and decode \( r \) into as
  \[ v^* = r + e = (1001001101101100000000000000) \]
Product Codes
Let $C_1$ be an $(n_1, k_1)$ linear code and $C_2$ be an $(n_2, k_2)$ linear code.

Then an $(n_1 n_2, k_1 k_2)$ linear code is formed such that each codeword is rectangular array of $(n_1)$ columns and $(n_2)$ rows in which every row is codeword in $C_1$ and every column is codeword in $C_2$.

This two dimensional codeword is called **direct product** of $C_1$ and $C_2$.

The $(k_1, k_2)$ digits in the right corner of the array are information symbols.

The $(k_1, k_2)$ digits in the upper right corner of the array are information symbols.

The digits in the upper left corner of the array are computed from the parity check rules for $C_1$ on rows and the digits in the lower right corner are computed from the parity check rules for $C_2$ on columns.

The digits in the lower left corner of the array are parity check rules for $C_2$ on columns or parity check rules for $C_1$ on rows.

![Figure: Code array for product code](image)
The product code $C_1XC_2$ is encoded in two steps.

A message of $(k_1, k_2)$ information symbols is first arranged as shown in the upper right corner of Figure 2.

1. In the first step each row of the information array is encoded into a codeword in $C_1$. The encoded results an array of $(k_2)$ rows and $(n_1)$ columns as shown in the upper part of the Figure.

2. In the second step of encoding each of the $n_1$ columns of the array formed at the first encoding step is encoded into a codeword in $C_2$.

This results in a code array of $(n_2)$ rows and $(n_1)$ columns as shown in Figure 2.

The code array is also can be formed by first performing the column by column encoding and then the row encoding.

Transmission can be carried out either column by column or row by row.

**Figure:** Code array for product code
If code $C_1$ has minimum weight $d_1$ and code $C_2$ has minimum weight $d_2$, the minimum weight of the product code is exactly $d_1 d_2$.

A minimum weight of the product code is formed by choosing a minimum weight codeword in $C_1$ and minimum weight codeword in $C_2$ and forming an array in which all columns corresponding to zeros in the codeword from $C_1$ are zeros and all columns corresponding to ones in the codeword from $C_1$ are the minimum weight codeword chosen from $C_2$. 

Consider an example \( u=(1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1) \)

This can be arranged as 4X4 information array.

The first four information symbols form the first row of the information array the second four information symbols form the second row and so on.

In the first step of encoding a single (even) parity check symbol is added to each row of the information array. This results in a 4X5 array.

In the first step of encoding a single (even) parity check symbol is added to each the five columns of the array. This results in a 5X5 array.

At the receiver a single error occurs at the intersection of two and column.

The erroneous row and column corrected by complementing the received symbol at the intersection.

Parity failure cannot correct any double error pattern, but it can detect all the double error pattern

When a double error pattern occurs, there are 3 possible distribution of the two errors: (1) they are in the same row (2)
Interleaved Codes[2]
In data manipulation and transmission, errors may be caused by a variety of factors including noise corruption, limited channel bandwidth, and interference between channels and sources.

- Bursts (or clusters) of errors are defined as a group of consecutive error bits in the one-dimensional (1-D) case or connected error bits in multi-dimensional (M-D) cases.
- Several consecutive transmitted error bits in a mobile communication system caused by a multipath fading channel.
- A bursty channel is defined as a channel over which errors tend to occur in bunches, or “bursts,” as opposed to random patterns associated with a Bernoulli-distributed process.
- The main idea is to mix up the code symbols from different code-words so that when the code-words are reconstructed at the receiving end error bursts encountered in the transmission are spread across multiple codewords.
- Consequently, the errors occurred within one code-word may be small enough to be corrected by using a simple random error correction code.
Consider a code in which each code-word contains four code symbols[2].

Suppose there are 16 symbols, which correspond to four code-words.

That is, code symbols from 1 to 4 form a code-word, from 5 to 8 another codeword, and so on.

In block interleaving, first creates a 4X4 2-D array, called block interleaver as shown in Figure 1.

The 16 code symbols are read into the 2-D array in a column-by-column (or row-by-row) manner.

The interleaved code symbols are obtained by writing the code symbols out of the 2-D array in a row-by-row (or column by-column) fashion.

This process has been depicted in Figure 1 (a), (b), and (c).

Assume a burst of errors involving four consecutive symbols as shown in Figure 1 (c) with shades.

After de-interleaving as shown in Figure 1 (d), the error burst is effectively spread among four code-words, resulting in only one code symbol in error for each of the four code-words.

Figure: Block Interleaving

[2]
Consider a \((n,k)\) linear block code \(C\), a new \((\lambda n, \lambda k)\) linear code is constructed by interleaving, that is arranging \(\lambda\) codewords in \(C\) into \(\lambda\) rows of rectangular array and then transmitting the array column by column.

The resulting code denoted by \(C^\lambda\) is called and interleaved code.

The parameter is referred as interleaving depth.

The interleaving technique is effective for deriving long, powerful codes for correcting errors that cluster to form bursts.
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