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- With this structure, the encoding complexity will be greatly reduced.
- Definition : A block code of length $n$ and $2^{k}$ code word is called a linear ( $n, k$ ) code iff its $2^{k}$ code words form a $k$-dimensional subspace of the vector space of all the $n$-tuple over the field GF(2).
- A binary block code is linear iff the module-2 sum of two code word is also a code word.
- The block code given in Table 3.1 is a $(7,4)$ linear code.

| Message | Codewords |
| :---: | :---: |
| (0000) | (0000000) |
| $\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ | (1101000) |
| $\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array} 000\right)$ | (01110100) |
| $\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ |
| (0 0110$)$ | (1110010) |
| (1010) | (0 0111010$)$ |
| (0 1110$)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ |
| (1 1110$)$ | (0101110) |
| (0001) | $\left(\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ |
| $\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ | (01111001) |
| (0101) | $\left(\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ |
| (1101) | (00011101) |
| (0 0111 ) | (0110011) |
| (1011) | $\left(\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ |
| (0 1111 ) | (0 01101111$)$ |
| (1 1111 ) | (111111111) |
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kxk Identity Matrix
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v \cdot H^{T}=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots v_{n-k-1}, u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots u_{k-1}\right) \cdot H^{T}=0
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\begin{aligned}
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\end{aligned}
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- v. $H^{T}=0$ is
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Denotes a connection if $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1$ and no connection if $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0$
$+$
Denotes a modulo-2 adder

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{n-k+i}=u_{i} \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq i<k \\
& v_{j}=u_{0} p_{0 j}+u_{1} p_{1 j}+\ldots,+u_{k-1} p_{k-1, j} \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq j<n-k
\end{aligned}
$$

To Channel


Parity register

Figure: The encoding circuit for a liner system ( $n, k$ ) code

The encoding circuit for a liner system $(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k})$ code

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{6}=u_{3}, v_{5}=u_{2}, v_{4}=u_{2}, v_{3}=u_{0}, v_{2}=u_{1}+u_{2}+u_{3}, v_{1}=u_{0}+u_{1}+u_{2} \\
& v_{0}=u_{0}+u_{2}+u_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure: The encoding circuit for the $(7,4)$ systematic code

# Syndrome and Error Detection 
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- The decoder first determine whether $r$ contains errors.
- If errors are detected, correct errors (FEC) or Request for a retransmission of $v(A R Q)$.
- When $r$ is received, the decoder computes the following ( $n-k$ )-tuple:
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\begin{equation*}
s=r \cdot H^{T}=\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-k-1}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

- which is called the syndrome of $r$
- $s=0$ if and only if $r$ is a code word and receiver accepts $r$ as the transmitted code word
- $s \neq 0$ if and only if $r$ is not a code word and the presence of errors has been detected
- When the error pattern e is identical to a nonzero code word (i.e., $r$ contain errors but $s=r \cdot H^{T}=0$ ), error patterns of this kind are called undetectable error patterns
- Since there are $2^{k-1}$ nonzero code words, there are $2^{k-1}$ undetectable error patterns
$S=\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n-1}\right) \cdot H^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{rrrrr}1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\ p_{00} & p_{01} & p_{02} & \cdots & p_{0, n-k-1} \\ p_{10} & p_{11} & p_{12} & \cdots & p_{1, n-k-1} \\ \vdots & & & & \\ p_{k-1,0} & p_{k-1,1} & p_{k-1,2} & \cdots & p_{k-1, n-k-1}\end{array}\right]$
- Based on Equation 10, the syndrome digits are as follows:
$S=\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n-1}\right) \cdot H^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{rrrrrr}1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\ p_{00} & p_{01} & p_{02} & \cdots & p_{0, n-k-1} \\ p_{10} & p_{11} & p_{12} & \cdots & p_{1, n-k-1} \\ \vdots & & & & \\ p_{k-1,0} & p_{k-1,1} & p_{k-1,2} & \cdots & p_{k-1, n-k-1}\end{array}\right]$
- Based on Equation 10, the syndrome digits are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0} & =r_{0}+r_{n-k} p_{00}+r_{n-k+1} p_{10}+\ldots+r_{n-1} p_{k-1,0} \\
s_{1} & =r_{1}+r_{n-k} p_{01}+r_{n-k+1} p_{11}+\ldots+r_{n-1} p_{k-1,1} \\
s_{n-k-1} & =r_{n-k-1}+r_{n-k} p_{0, n-k-1} \ldots+r_{n-1} p_{k-1, n-k-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The syndrome $s$ is the vector sum of the received parity digits
- The syndrome $s$ is the vector sum of the received parity digits $\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n-k-1}\right)$ and the parity-check digits recomputed from the received information digits $\left(r_{n-k}, r_{n-k+1} \ldots, r_{n 1}\right)$
- A general syndrome circuit is shown in Fig. 5


Figure: Syndrome circuit for a liner system ( $n, k$ ) code

## Example 3.4

- The parity-check matrix is given in example 3.3
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## Example 3.4

- The parity-check matrix is given in example 3.3
- Let $r=\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{5}, r_{6}\right)$ be the received vector
- The syndrome is given by


## Example 3.4

- The parity-check matrix is given in example 3.3
- Let $r=\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{5}, r_{6}\right)$ be the received vector
- The syndrome is given by

$$
S=\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}\right)=\left(r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}, r_{5}, r_{6}\right) \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

- $s_{0}=r_{0}+r_{3}+r_{5}+r_{6}$
- $s_{1}=r_{1}+r_{3}+r_{4}+r_{5}$
- $s_{2}=r_{2}+r_{4}+r_{5}+r_{6}$
- $s_{0}=r_{0}+r_{3}+r_{5}+r_{6}$
- $s_{1}=r_{1}+r_{3}+r_{4}+r_{5}$
- $s_{2}=r_{2}+r_{4}+r_{5}+r_{6}$
- The syndrome circuit for this code is shown below


Figure: Syndrome circuit for a liner system ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}$ ) code

- Since $r$ is the vector sum of $v$ and $e$, it follows from (3.10) that
- Since $r$ is the vector sum of $v$ and $e$, it follows from (3.10) that

$$
s=r \cdot H^{T}=(v+e) \cdot H^{T}=v \cdot H^{T}+e \cdot H^{T}
$$

- Since $r$ is the vector sum of $v$ and $e$, it follows from (3.10) that

$$
s=r \cdot H^{T}=(v+e) \cdot H^{T}=v \cdot H^{T}+e \cdot H^{T}
$$

$$
v \cdot H^{T}=0
$$

- The relation between the syndrome and the error pattern is:
- Since $r$ is the vector sum of $v$ and $e$, it follows from (3.10) that

$$
s=r \cdot H^{T}=(v+e) \cdot H^{T}=v \cdot H^{T}+e \cdot H^{T}
$$

$$
v \cdot H^{T}=0
$$

- The relation between the syndrome and the error pattern is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=e . H^{T} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Since $r$ is the vector sum of $v$ and $e$, it follows from (3.10) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
s=r \cdot H^{T}=(v+e) \cdot H^{T}=v \cdot H^{T}+e \cdot H^{T} \\
v \cdot H^{T}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

- The relation between the syndrome and the error pattern is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=e . H^{T} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

- If the parity-check matrix H is expressed in the systematic form as given by (3.7), multiplying out e. $H^{T}$ yield the following linear relationship between the syndrome digits and the error digits:
- Since $r$ is the vector sum of $v$ and $e$, it follows from (3.10) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
s=r \cdot H^{T}=(v+e) \cdot H^{T}=v \cdot H^{T}+e \cdot H^{T} \\
v \cdot H^{T}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

- The relation between the syndrome and the error pattern is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=e . H^{T} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

- If the parity-check matrix H is expressed in the systematic form as given by (3.7), multiplying out e. $H^{\top}$ yield the following linear relationship between the syndrome digits and the error digits:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{0} & =e_{0}+e_{n-k} p_{00}+e_{n-k+1} p_{10}+\ldots+e_{n-1} p_{k-1,0} \\
s_{1} & =e_{1}+e_{n-k} p_{01}+e_{n-k+1} p_{11}+\ldots+e_{n-1} p_{k-1,1} \\
s_{n-k-1} & =e_{n-k-1}+e_{n-k} p_{0, n-k-1} \ldots+e_{n-1} p_{k-1, n-k-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The syndrome digits are linear combinations of the error digits
- The syndrome digits are linear combinations of the error digits
- The syndrome digits can be used for error correction
- The syndrome digits are linear combinations of the error digits
- The syndrome digits can be used for error correction
- Because the n-k linear equations of (3.13) do not have a unique solution but have $2^{k}$ solutions
- The syndrome digits are linear combinations of the error digits
- The syndrome digits can be used for error correction
- Because the n-k linear equations of (3.13) do not have a unique solution but have $2^{k}$ solutions
- There are $2^{k}$ error pattern that result in the same syndrome, and the true error pattern $e$ is one of them
- The syndrome digits are linear combinations of the error digits
- The syndrome digits can be used for error correction
- Because the n-k linear equations of (3.13) do not have a unique solution but have $2^{k}$ solutions
- There are $2^{k}$ error pattern that result in the same syndrome, and the true error pattern e is one of them
- The decoder has to determine the true error vector from a set of $2^{k}$ candidates
- The syndrome digits are linear combinations of the error digits
- The syndrome digits can be used for error correction
- Because the n-k linear equations of (3.13) do not have a unique solution but have $2^{k}$ solutions
- There are $2^{k}$ error pattern that result in the same syndrome, and the true error pattern e is one of them
- The decoder has to determine the true error vector from a set of $2^{k}$ candidates
- To minimize the probability of a decoding error, the most probable error pattern that satisfies the equations of (3.13) is chosen as the true error vector
- Consider the code $C(5,2)$ with the parity check matrix
- Consider the code $C(5,2)$ with the parity check matrix

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Consider the code $C(5,2)$ with the parity check matrix

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Let $\mathrm{v}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ be the transmitted codeword over BSC and $r=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ be received vector.
- Consider the code $C(5,2)$ with the parity check matrix

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Let $\mathrm{v}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ be the transmitted codeword over BSC and $r=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ be received vector.
- The problem is to find the digits of an error pattern $e=\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$ Compute the syndrome $S=\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ of $r=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$
- Consider the code $C(5,2)$ with the parity check matrix

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Let $\mathrm{v}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ be the transmitted codeword over BSC and $r=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1 & 1\end{array} 1\right)$ be received vector.
- The problem is to find the digits of an error pattern $e=\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$ Compute the syndrome $S=\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ of $r=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$

$$
s=r \cdot H^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Consider the code $C(5,2)$ with the parity check matrix

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Let $\mathrm{v}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ be the transmitted codeword over BSC and $r=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1 & 1\end{array} 1\right)$ be received vector.
- The problem is to find the digits of an error pattern $e=\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$ Compute the syndrome $S=\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ of $r=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$

$$
s=r \cdot H^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

- Solve the system for $e=\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$ with $s=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ as
- Solve the system for $e=\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$ with $s=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ as

$$
H . e^{T}=s^{T} \Rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
e_{0} \\
e_{1} \\
e_{2} \\
e_{3} \\
e_{4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Solve the system for $e=\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$ with $s=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{gathered}
H . e^{T}=s^{T} \Rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
e_{0} \\
e_{1} \\
e_{2} \\
e_{3} \\
e_{4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
e_{0}+e_{3}+e_{4}=1 \\
e_{1}+e_{3}+e_{4}=0 \\
e_{2}+e_{3}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

- Solve the system for $e=\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$ with $s=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{gathered}
H . e^{T}=s^{T} \Rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
e_{0} \\
e_{1} \\
e_{2} \\
e_{3} \\
e_{4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
e_{0}+e_{3}+e_{4}=1 \\
e_{1}+e_{3}+e_{4}=0 \\
e_{2}+e_{3}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

- There are $2^{2}=4$ error patterns that satisfy the above system depending on $e_{3} e_{4}=00$ or 01 or 10 or 11 , they are ( 100000 ), (0 1001 ), (0 1110 ),(1 0111 )
- Solve the system for $e=\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$ with $s=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{gathered}
H . e^{T}=s^{T} \Rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
e_{0} \\
e_{1} \\
e_{2} \\
e_{3} \\
e_{4}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
e_{0}+e_{3}+e_{4}=1 \\
e_{1}+e_{3}+e_{4}=0 \\
e_{2}+e_{3}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

- There are $2^{2}=4$ error patterns that satisfy the above system depending on $e_{3} e_{4}=00$ or 01 or 10 or 11 , they are ( 100000 ), (0 1001 ), (0 1110 ),(1 0111 )
- Now, since the channel is Binary Symmetric Channel (BSC), Then the most probable error pattern that satisfies the system above is $\mathrm{e}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ which has the smallest number of nonzero digits.
- The receiver decodes the received word $r=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1 & 1\end{array} 1\right)$ into the following codeword $v^{*}=r+e=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$
- Now, since the channel is Binary Symmetric Channel (BSC), Then the most probable error pattern that satisfies the system above is $\mathrm{e}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ which has the smallest number of nonzero digits.
- The receiver decodes the received word $r=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ into the following codeword $v^{*}=r+e=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$
- We see that the receiver has made a correct decoding.
- We consider the $(7,4)$ code whose parity-check matrix is given in example 3.3
- We consider the $(7,4)$ code whose parity-check matrix is given in example 3.3
- Let $v=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ be the transmitted code word
- We consider the $(7,4)$ code whose parity-check matrix is given in example 3.3
- Let $v=(1001011)$ be the transmitted code word
- Let $r=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array} 01\right)$ be the received vector
- We consider the $(7,4)$ code whose parity-check matrix is given in example 3.3
- Let $v=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array} 1011\right)$ be the transmitted code word
- Let $r=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array} 01\right)$ be the received vector
- The receiver computes the syndrome
- We consider the $(7,4)$ code whose parity-check matrix is given in example 3.3
- Let $v=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1001011)\end{array}\right)$ be the transmitted code word
- Let $r=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array} 001\right)$ be the received vector
- The receiver computes the syndrome

$$
s=r \cdot H^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

- We consider the $(7,4)$ code whose parity-check matrix is given in example 3.3
- Let $v=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array} 011\right)$ be the transmitted code word
- Let $r=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array} 01\right)$ be the received vector
- The receiver computes the syndrome

$$
s=r \cdot H^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

- The receiver attempts to determine the true error vector
- We consider the $(7,4)$ code whose parity-check matrix is given in example 3.3
- Let $v=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array} 011\right)$ be the transmitted code word
- Let $r=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array} 01\right)$ be the received vector
- The receiver computes the syndrome

$$
s=r \cdot H^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

- The receiver attempts to determine the true error vectore $=\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}, e_{6}\right)$, which yields the syndrome above
- We consider the $(7,4)$ code whose parity-check matrix is given in example 3.3
- Let $v=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array} 011\right)$ be the transmitted code word
- Let $r=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array} 01\right)$ be the received vector
- The receiver computes the syndrome

$$
s=r \cdot H^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

- The receiver attempts to determine the true error vectore $=\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}, e_{6}\right)$, which yields the syndrome above

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=e_{0}+e_{3}+e_{5}+e_{6} \\
& 1=e_{1}+e_{3}+e_{4}+e_{5} \\
& 1=e_{2}+e_{4}+e_{5}+e_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

- We consider the $(7,4)$ code whose parity-check matrix is given in example 3.3
- Let $v=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array} 11\right.$ ) be the transmitted code word
- Let $r=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array} 01\right)$ be the received vector
- The receiver computes the syndrome

$$
s=r \cdot H^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

- The receiver attempts to determine the true error vectore $=\left(e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}, e_{6}\right)$, which yields the syndrome above

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=e_{0}+e_{3}+e_{5}+e_{6} \\
& 1=e_{1}+e_{3}+e_{4}+e_{5} \\
& 1=e_{2}+e_{4}+e_{5}+e_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

- There are $2^{4}=16$ error patterns that satisfy the equations above.


# (0000010),(1101010),(0110110),(1011110), (1110000),(0011000),(1000100),(0101100), (1010011),(0111011),(1100111),(0001111), (0100001),(1001001),(0010101),(1111101) 

## (0000010),(1101010),(0110110),(1011110), (1110000),(0011000),(1000100),(0101100), (1010011),(0111011),(1100111),(0001111), (0100001),(1001001),(0010101),(1111101)

- The error vector $e=(0000010)$ has the smallest number of nonzero components

```
(0000010),(1101010),(0110110),(1011110),
(1110000),(0011000),(1000100),(0101100),
(1010011),(0111011),(1100111),(0001111),
(0100001),(1001001),(0010101),(1111101)
```

- The error vector $e=(0000010)$ has the smallest number of nonzero components
- If the channel is a Binary Symmetric Channel (BSC), e $=(000001$ 0 ) is the most probable error vector that satisfies the equation above

```
(0000010),(1101010),(0110110),(1011110),
(1110000),(0011000),(1000100),(0101100),
(1010011),(0111011),(1100111),(0001111),
(0100001),(1001001),(0010101),(1111101)
```

- The error vector $e=(0000010)$ has the smallest number of nonzero components
- If the channel is a Binary Symmetric Channel (BSC), e $=(000001$ 0 ) is the most probable error vector that satisfies the equation above
- Taking e $=(0000010)$ as the true error vector, the receiver decodes the received vector $r=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array} 01\right)$ into the following code word

```
(0000010),(1101010),(0110110),(1011110),
(1110000),(0011000),(1000100),(0101100),
(1010011),(0111011),(1100111),(0001111),
(0100001),(1001001),(0010101),(1111101)
```

- The error vector $e=(0000010)$ has the smallest number of nonzero components
- If the channel is a Binary Symmetric Channel (BSC), e $=(000001$ 0 ) is the most probable error vector that satisfies the equation above
- Taking e $=(0000010)$ as the true error vector, the receiver decodes the received vector $r=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array} 1001\right)$ into the following code word
- $\mathrm{v}^{*}=\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{e}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right.$ 1 0 )

```
(0000010),(1101010),(0110110),(1011110),
(1110000),(0011000),(1000100),(0101100),
(1010011),(0111011),(1100111),(0001111),
(0100001),(1001001),(0010101),(1111101)
```

- The error vector $e=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 010\end{array}\right)$ has the smallest number of nonzero components
- If the channel is a Binary Symmetric Channel (BSC), e $=(000001$ 0 ) is the most probable error vector that satisfies the equation above
- Taking e $=(0000010)$ as the true error vector, the receiver decodes the received vector $r=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1001\end{array}\right)$ into the following code word
- $\mathrm{v}^{*}=\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{e}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$
- where $\mathrm{v}^{*}$ is the actual transmitted code word


## The Minimum Distance of a Block Code

- Let $v=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right)$ be a binary n-tuple, the Hamming weight (or simply weight) of $v$, denoted by $w(v)$, is defined as the number of nonzero components of $v$.
- Let $v=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right)$ be a binary $n$-tuple, the Hamming weight (or simply weight) of $v$, denoted by $w(v)$, is defined as the number of nonzero components of $v$.
- For example, the Hamming weight of $v=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array} 10\right)$ is 3 .
- Let $v=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right)$ be a binary $n$-tuple, the Hamming weight (or simply weight) of $v$, denoted by $w(v)$, is defined as the number of nonzero components of $v$.
- For example, the Hamming weight of $v=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array} 10\right)$ is 3 .
- Let v and w be two n -tuple, the Hamming distance between v and w , denoted $d(v, w)$, is defined as the number of places where they differ.
- Let $v=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right)$ be a binary $n$-tuple, the Hamming weight (or simply weight) of $v$, denoted by $w(v)$, is defined as the number of nonzero components of $v$.
- For example, the Hamming weight of $v=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1000110)\end{array}\right.$ is $^{2}$.
- Let v and w be two n-tuple, the Hamming distance between v and w , denoted $d(v, w)$, is defined as the number of places where they differ.
- For example, the Hamming distance between $v=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $w=(0100011)$ is 3
- Let $v=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right)$ be a binary $n$-tuple, the Hamming weight (or simply weight) of $v$, denoted by $w(v)$, is defined as the number of nonzero components of $v$.
- For example, the Hamming weight of $v=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 011\end{array}\right)$ is 3 .
- Let v and w be two n -tuple, the Hamming distance between v and w , denoted $d(v, w)$, is defined as the number of places where they differ.
- For example, the Hamming distance between $v=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $w=(0100011)$ is 3
- The Hamming distance is a metric function that satisfied the triangle inequality.
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- The minimum distance of a linear block code is equal to the minimum weight of its nonzero code words.
- If C is a linear block, the sum of two vectors is also a code vector.
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- The parameter $w_{\text {min }} \equiv\{w(x): x \in C, x \neq 0\}$ is called the minimum weight of the linear code $C$.

Theorem 3.1

- The minimum distance of a linear block code is equal to the minimum weight of its nonzero code words.
- The $(7,4)$ code has minimum weight of 3 .

Theorem 3.2

- Let $C$ be an ( $n, k$ ) linear code with parity-check matrix H. For each code vector of Hamming weight $/$, there exist / columns of H such that the vector sum of these I columns is equal to the zero vector. Conversely, if there exist / columns of H whose vector sum is the zeros vector, there exists a code vector of Hamming weight / in C.
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## Proof

- Let the parity-check matrix be

$$
H=\left[h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n-1}\right]
$$

- where $h_{i}$ represents the $i_{\text {th }}$ column of H
- Let $v=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right)$ be a code vector of weight I and $v$ has I nonzero components.
- Let $v_{i 1}, v_{i 2}, \ldots, v_{i l}$ be the I nonzero components of v ,
- where $0 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{1} \leq n-1$, then $v_{i 1}=v_{i 2}=\ldots=v_{i l}=1$
- since v is code vector, we must have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =v . H^{\top} \\
& =v_{0} h_{0}+v_{1} h_{1}+\ldots+v_{n-1} h_{n-1} \\
& =v_{i 1} h_{i 1}+v_{i 2} h_{i 2}+\ldots+v_{i l} h_{i l} \\
& =h_{i 1}+h_{i 2}+\ldots+h_{i l}
\end{aligned}
$$
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\begin{equation*}
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\end{equation*}
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- Let $x=(x 1, x 2, \ldots, x n-1)$ whose nonzero components are $x_{i 1}, x_{i 2}, x_{i l}$
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- Suppose that $h_{i 1}, h_{i 2}, \ldots, h_{i I}$ are I columns of H such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i 1}+h_{i 2}+\ldots+h_{i l}=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Let $x=(x 1, x 2, \ldots, x n-1)$ whose nonzero components are $x_{i 1}, x_{i 2}, x_{i l}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x . H^{T} & =x_{0} h_{0}+x_{1} h_{1}+\ldots+x_{n-1} h_{n-1} \\
& =x_{i 1} h_{i 1}+x_{i 2} h_{i 2}+\ldots+x_{i l} h_{i l} \\
& =h_{i 1}+h_{i 2}+\ldots+h_{i l}
\end{aligned}
$$

- It following from (3.18) that $x . H^{T}=0, x$ is code vector of weight I in C
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## Corollary 3.2.1

- Let C be a linear block code with parity-check matrix H . If no $\mathrm{d}-1$ or fewer columns of H add to 0 , the code has minimum weight at least d . Corollary 3.2.2
- The minimum weight of $C$ is equal to the smallest number of columns of H that sum to 0 .


## Error-Detecting and Error-Correcting Capabilities of a Block Code

- If the minimum distance of a block code $C$ is $d_{\text {min }}$, any two distinct code vector of $C$ differ in at least $d_{\text {min }}$ places.
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- However, it cannot detect all the error pattern of $d_{\text {min }}$ errors because there exists at least one pair of code vectors that differ in $d_{\text {min }}$ places and there is an error pattern of $d_{\text {min }}$ errors that will carry one into the other.
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- However, it cannot detect all the error pattern of $d_{\text {min }}$ errors because there exists at least one pair of code vectors that differ in $d_{\text {min }}$ places and there is an error pattern of $d_{\text {min }}$ errors that will carry one into the other.
- The random-error-detecting capability of a block code with minimum distance $d_{\text {min }}$ is $d_{\text {min }}-1$.
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where $p$ is the transition probability of the BSC.
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$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{u}(E)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} p^{i}(1-p)^{n-i} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
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where $p$ is the transition probability of the BSC.

- If the minimum distance of $C$ is $d_{\text {min }}$, then $A_{1}$ to $A_{d m i n}-1$ are zero.
- Consider the $(7,4)$ code given in table. The weight distribution is: $A_{0}=1, A_{1}=A_{2}=0, A_{3}=A_{4}=7, A_{5}=A_{6}=0$, and $A_{7}=1$
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- If $p=10^{-2}$ then $P_{u}(E)=7 \times 10^{-6}$ this means, if 1 million codewords are transmitted over a BSC with $p=10^{-2}$ on average seven erroneous codewords pass through the decoder without being detected.
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Combining equation 20 and equation 21
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- The code is referred to as a t-error-correcting code.
- A block code with random-error-correcting capability $t$ is usually capable of correcting many error patterns of $t+1$ or more errors.
- For a t-error-correcting ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}$ ) linear code, it is capable of correcting a total $2^{n-k}$ error patterns.


# Standard Array and Syndrome Decoding 

- Let $V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}, \ldots, V_{2^{k}}$ be the code vector of $C$ i.e $C=\left\{V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{2^{k}}\right\}$. Each code vector i.e for example $V_{1}=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}\right)$
- Any decoding scheme used at the receiver is a rule to partition the $2^{n}$ possible received vectors into $2^{k}$ disjoint subsets $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{2^{k}}$ such that the code vector $v_{i}$ is contained in the subset $D_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2^{k}$.
- Each subset $D_{i}$ is one-to-one correspondence to a code vector $v_{i}$.
- If the received vector $r$ is found in the subset $D_{i}, r$ is decoded into $v_{i}$.
- Correct decoding is made if and only if the received vector $r$ is in the subset $D_{i}$ that corresponds to the actual code vector transmitted.
- A method to partition the $2^{n}$ possible received vectors into $2^{k}$ disjoint subsets such that each subset contains one and only one code vector is described here.


## Step 1.

- First, the $2^{k}$ code vectors of $C$ are placed in a row with the all-zero code vector $v_{1}=(0,0, \ldots, 0)$ as the first (leftmost) element.
$D_{1}$, $D_{2}, \ldots$,
$D_{i}$,
$D_{2} k$
$v_{1}=(00 \ldots 0) \quad v_{2}, \ldots$,
$v_{i}$,
$v_{2} k$
Step 2.
- From the remaining $2^{n}-2^{k}$ n-tuple, an n-tuple $e_{2}$ of minimum weight is chosen and is placed under the zero vector $v_{1}$.
- A second row is formed by adding $e_{2}$ to each code vector $v_{i}$ in the first row and placing the sum $e_{2}+v_{i}$ under $v_{i}$


## Step 3.

- An unused n-tuple $e_{3}$ is chosen from the remaining n-tuples and is placed under $e_{2}$.
- Then a third row is formed by adding $e_{3}$ to each code vector $v_{i}$ in the first row and placing $e_{3}+v_{i}$ under $v_{i}$.
- Continue this process until all the n-tuples are used.
- Then we have an array of rows and columns as shown in Fig 3.6
- This array is called a standard array of the given linear code C

| $v_{1}=0$ | $v_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $v_{i}$ | $\cdots$ | $v_{2^{k}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $e_{2}$ | $e_{2}+v_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $e_{2}+v_{i}$ | $\cdots$ | $e_{2}+v_{2^{k}}$ |
| $e_{3}$ | $e_{3}+v_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $e_{3}+v_{i}$ | $\cdots$ | $e_{3}+v_{2^{k}}$ |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $e_{I}$ | $e_{I}+v_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $e_{I}+v_{i}$ | $\ldots$ | $e_{I}+v_{2^{k}}$ |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $e_{2}^{n-k}$ | $e_{2}^{n-k}+v_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $e_{2}^{n-k}+v_{i}$ | $\ldots$ | $e_{2}^{n-k}+v_{2^{k}}$ |

Theorem 3.3: No two n-tuples in the same row of a standard array are identical. Every n-tuple appears in one and only one row. Proof:

- The first part of the theorem follows from the fact that all the code vectors of $C$ are distinct
- Suppose that two n-tuples in the $I^{\text {th }}$ rows are identical, say $e_{I}+v_{i}=e_{I}+v_{j}$ with $i \neq j$
- This means that $v_{i}=v_{j}$, which is impossible, therefore no two n-tuples in the same row are identical


## Proof

- It follows from the construction rule of the standard array that every n-tuple appears at least once
- Suppose that an n-tuple appears in both lth row and the mth row with $/<m$
- Then this n-tuple must be equal to el + vi for some i and equal to $e_{m}+v_{j}$ for some $j$
- As a result, $e_{l}+v_{i}=e_{m}+v_{j}$
- From this equality we obtain em $=e l+(v i+v j)$
- Since $v i$ and $v j$ are code vectors in $C, v i+v$ is also a code vector in C, say vs
- This implies that the n-tuple em is in the lth row of the array, which contradicts the construction rule of the array that em, the first element of the mth row, should be unused in any previous row
- No n-tuple can appear in more than one row of the array
- From Theorem 3.3 we see that there are $2^{n} / 2^{k}=2^{n-k}$ disjoint rows in the standard array, and each row consists of $2^{k}$ distinct elements
- The $2_{n-k}$ rows are called the cosets of the code $C$
- The first n-tuple $e_{j}$ of each coset is called a coset leader
- Any element in a coset can be used as its coset leader
- Consider the $(6,3)$ linear code generated by the following matrix:

$$
G=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Message is of

| $u_{0}$ | $u_{1}$ | $u_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

The coded message is of $U . G=$ (000000, 011100,101010, 110001, 110110, 101101, 011011, 000111) The standard array of this code is shown in Table.

The coded message is of $U . G=$ (000000, 011100,101010, 110001, 110110, 101101, 011011, 000111) The standard array of this code is shown in Table.

| Coset <br> leader |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 000000 | 011100 | 101010 | 110001 | 110110 | 101101 | 011011 | 000111 |
| 100000 | 111100 | 001010 | 010001 | 010110 | 001101 | 111011 | 100111 |
| 010000 | 001100 | 111010 | 100001 | 100110 | 111101 | 001011 | 010111 |
| 001000 | 010100 | 100010 | 111001 | 111110 | 100101 | 010011 | 001111 |
| 000100 | 011000 | 101110 | 110101 | 110010 | 101001 | 011111 | 000011 |
| 000010 | 011110 | 101000 | 110011 | 110100 | 101111 | 011001 | 000101 |
| 000001 | 011101 | 101011 | 110000 | 110111 | 101100 | 011010 | 000110 |
| 100100 | 111000 | 001110 | 010101 | 010010 | 001001 | 111111 | 100011 |

## Standard Array Decoding

- Consider (011100) is the transmitted codeword and the received word is (001100) which lies in $2^{\text {nd }}$ column whose coset leader $\mathrm{e}=(010000)$. So e is correctable error pattern. $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{e}=$ $(001100)+(010000)=(011100)$.
- Consider (011100) is the transmitted codeword and the received word is (010100) which lies in $2^{\text {nd }}$ column whose coset leader $\mathrm{e}=(001000)$. So e is correctable error pattern. $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{e}=$ $(010100)+(001000)=(011100)$.
- Consider (011100) is the transmitted codeword and the received word is (001010) which lies in $2^{\text {nd }}$ column whose coset leader $\mathrm{e}=(100000)$. $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{e}=(001010)+(100000)=(101010)$, in which there are 3 errors ocuur in the received vector that is equal to $d_{\text {min }}$, hence it is undetectable.
- Again consider (011100) is the transmitted codeword and the received word is (101100) and for this error pattern there is no coset leader in the standard array, so e is uncorrectable error pattern.
- A standard array of an ( $n, k$ ) linear code $C$ consists of $2^{k}$ disjoint columns
- Let Dj denote the jth column of the standard array, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{j}=\left\{v_{j}, e_{2}+v_{j}, e_{3}+v_{j}, \ldots, e_{2^{n-k}}+v_{j}\right\} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $v_{j}$ is a code vector of $C$ and $e_{2}, e_{3}, \ldots e_{2^{n-k}}$ are the coset leaders
- The $2^{k}$ disjoint columns $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{2^{k}}$ can be used for decoding the code C.
- Suppose that the code vector $v_{j}$ is transmitted over a noisy channel, from (3.27) we see that the received vector $r$ is in $D_{j}$ if the error pattern caused by the channel is a coset leader
- If the error pattern caused by the channel is not a coset leader, an erroneous decoding will result
- The decoding is correct if and only if the error pattern caused by the channel is a coset leader
- The $2^{n-k}$ coset leaders (including the zero vector 0 ) are called the correctable error patterns.
Theorem 3.4 Every ( $n, k$ ) linear block code is capable of correcting $2^{n-k}$ error pattern.
- To minimize the probability of a decoding error, the error patterns that are most likely to occur for a given channel should be chosen as the coset leaders
- When a standard array is formed, each coset leader should be chosen to be a vector of least weight from the remaining available vectors


## Syndrome Decoding

- The syndrome of an $n$-tuple is an ( $n-k$ )-tuple and there are $2^{n-k}$ distinct ( $n-k$ )-tuples.
- From theorem 3.6 that there is a one-to-one correspondence between a coset and an (nk)-tuple syndrome
- Using this one-to-one correspondence relationship, we can form a decoding table, which is much simpler to use than a standard array
- The table consists of $2^{n-k}$ coset leaders (the correctable error pattern) and their corresponding syndromes
- This table is either stored or wired in the receiver


## The decoding of a received vector consists of three steps: Step 1.
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$$
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- Locate the coset leader $e_{I}$ whose syndrome is equal to $r . H^{T}$, then $e_{I}$ is assumed to be the error pattern caused by the channel.


## The decoding of a received vector consists of three steps:

 Step 1.- Compute the syndrome $S$ of the received word $r$,

$$
S=r \cdot H^{T}=H^{T} \cdot r
$$

Step 2.

- Locate the coset leader $e_{I}$ whose syndrome is equal to $r . H^{T}$, then $e_{I}$ is assumed to be the error pattern caused by the channel. Step 3.
- Decode the received vector $r$ into the code vector $v$. i.e., $v=r+e_{l}$
- The decoding scheme described above is called the syndrome decoding or table-lookup decoding


## Example 3.8

- Consider the $(7,4)$ linear code given in Table 3.1, the parity-check matrix is given in example 3.3
- The code has $2^{3}=8$ cosets.
- There are eight correctable error patterns (including the all-zero vector)
- Since the minimum distance of the code is 3 , it is capable of correcting all the error patterns of weight 1 or 0
- All the 7 -tuples of weight 1 or 0 can be used as coset leaders.
- The number of correctable error pattern guaranteed by the minimum distance is equal to the total number of correctable error patterns.

Table: Decoding table for the $(7,4)$ linear code.
Syndrome Coset Leader

| $(100)$ | $(1000000)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(010)$ | $(0100000)$ |
| $(001)$ | $(0010000)$ |
| $(110)$ | $(0001000)$ |
| $(011)$ | $(0000100)$ |
| $(111)$ | $(0000010)$ |
| $(101)$ | $(0000001)$ |

- Suppose that the code vector $v=(1001011)$ is transmitted and $r$ $=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ is received code vector.
- Suppose that the code vector $v=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1001011\end{array}\right)$ is transmitted and $r$ $=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1\end{array} 11\right)$ is received code vector.
- For decoding $r$, we compute the syndrome of $r$.
- Suppose that the code vector $v=\left(\begin{array}{lll}10 & 0 & 1011)\end{array}\right)$ is transmitted and $r$ $=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ is received code vector .
- For decoding $r$, we compute the syndrome of $r$.

- From Table 3.2 we find that ( 011 ) is the syndrome of the coset leader $e=(0000100)$, then $r$ is decoded into
- From Table 3.2 we find that ( 011 ) is the syndrome of the coset leader $e=(0000100)$, then $r$ is decoded into

$$
\begin{aligned}
v^{*} & =r+e \\
& =(1001111)+(0000100) \\
& =(1001011)
\end{aligned}
$$

- which is the actual code vector transmitted
- The decoding is correct since the error pattern caused by the channel is a coset leader.
- Suppose that $v=(0000000)$ is transmitted and $r=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array} 00\right)$ is received code vector.
- Suppose that $v=(0000000)$ is transmitted and $r=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array} 100\right)$ is received code vector.
- We see that two errors have occurred during the transmission of $v$.
- Suppose that $v=(0000000)$ is transmitted and $r=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array} 100\right)$ is received code vector.
- We see that two errors have occurred during the transmission of $v$.
- The error pattern is not correctable and will cause a decoding error.
- Suppose that $v=(0000000)$ is transmitted and $r=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array} 100\right)$ is received code vector.
- We see that two errors have occurred during the transmission of v .
- The error pattern is not correctable and will cause a decoding error.
- When $r$ is received, the receiver computes the syndrome.
- Suppose that $v=(0000000)$ is transmitted and $r=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0\end{array} 0100\right)$ is received code vector.
- We see that two errors have occurred during the transmission of v .
- The error pattern is not correctable and will cause a decoding error.
- When $r$ is received, the receiver computes the syndrome.

$$
s=r \cdot H^{T}=(111)
$$

- Suppose that $v=(0000000)$ is transmitted and $r=\left(\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array} 100\right)$ is received code vector.
- We see that two errors have occurred during the transmission of v .
- The error pattern is not correctable and will cause a decoding error.
- When $r$ is received, the receiver computes the syndrome.

$$
s=r \cdot H^{T}=(111)
$$

- From the decoding table we find that the coset leader $\mathrm{e}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ corresponds to the syndrome $\mathrm{s}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$.
- $r$ is decoded into the code vector.
- $r$ is decoded into the code vector.

$$
\begin{aligned}
v^{*} & =r+e \\
& =(1000100)+(0000010) \\
& =(1000110)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $r$ is decoded into the code vector.

$$
\begin{aligned}
v^{*} & =r+e \\
& =(1000100)+(0000010) \\
& =(1000110)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Since $v^{*}$ is not the actual code vector transmitted, a decoding error is committed.
- $r$ is decoded into the code vector.

$$
\begin{aligned}
v^{*} & =r+e \\
& =(1000100)+(0000010) \\
& =(1000110)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Since $v^{*}$ is not the actual code vector transmitted, a decoding error is committed.
- Using Table 3.2, the code is capable of correcting any single error over a block of seven digits.
- $r$ is decoded into the code vector.

$$
\begin{aligned}
v^{*} & =r+e \\
& =(1000100)+(0000010) \\
& =(1000110)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Since $v^{*}$ is not the actual code vector transmitted, a decoding error is committed.
- Using Table 3.2, the code is capable of correcting any single error over a block of seven digits.
- When two or more errors occur, a decoding error will be committed.
- The table-lookup decoding of an ( $n, k$ ) linear code may be implemented as follows.
- The table-lookup decoding of an ( $n, k$ ) linear code may be implemented as follows.
- The decoding table is regarded as the truth table of $n$ switch functions:
- The table-lookup decoding of an ( $n, k$ ) linear code may be implemented as follows.
- The decoding table is regarded as the truth table of n switch functions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{0} & =f_{0}\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots s_{n-k-1}\right) \\
e_{1} & =f_{1}\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots s_{n-k-1}\right) \\
\vdots & \\
e_{n-1} & =f_{n-1}\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots s_{n-k-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- The table-lookup decoding of an ( $n, k$ ) linear code may be implemented as follows.
- The decoding table is regarded as the truth table of n switch functions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{0} & =f_{0}\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots s_{n-k-1}\right) \\
e_{1} & =f_{1}\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots s_{n-k-1}\right) \\
\vdots & \\
e_{n-1} & =f_{n-1}\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots s_{n-k-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-k-1}$ are the syndrome digits where $e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ are the estimated error digits


Corrected output
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Example 3.9

- Consider the $(7,4)$ code given in Table 3.1. The syndrome circuit for this code is shown in Fig. 3.5.
- The decoding table is given by Table 3.2
- From this table we form the truth table (Table 3.3)
- The switching expression for the seven error digits are
- where $\wedge$ denotes the logic-AND operation
- where ' denotes the logic-COMPLENENT of $s$
$e_{0}=s_{0} \wedge s_{1}^{\prime} \wedge s_{2}^{\prime}$
$e_{1}=s_{0}^{\prime} \wedge s_{1} \wedge s_{2}^{\prime}$
$e_{2}=s_{0}^{\prime} \wedge s_{1}^{\prime} \wedge s_{2}$
$e_{3}=s_{0} \wedge s_{1} \wedge s_{2}$
$e_{4}=s_{0} \wedge s_{1} \wedge s_{2}$
$e_{5}=s_{0} \wedge s_{1} \wedge s_{2}$
$e_{6}=s_{0} \wedge s_{1} \wedge s_{2}$
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